

Beam Physics Note TRI-BN-24-16 July 10, 2024

Jacobian for the Optimization Of Dipole Gap Profiles – Second Part

Thomas Planche

TRIUMF

Abstract: The method presented in the internal note TRI-BN-23-09 was valid only for dipole magnets with non-saturated poles; In this note I extend this method to the case of a fully saturate magnets.

4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6T 2A3 \cdot Tel: 604 222-1047 \cdot www.triumf.ca

1 Introduction

The premises are the same as for TRI-BN-23-09 [1] except that we now consider the case of a fully saturated magnet ($\mu_r \rightarrow 1$). More precisely, we assume that the magnetization \vec{M} is uniform within the pole and oriented along y: the direction normal to the magnet median plane. This assumption allows us to represent the effect of a modification of the shape of the pole surface using a current loop. This analytical technique is described is several text books; particularly relevant to our case is it application to the design of superconducting cyclotron magnets [2].

The effect of displacing one point on the surface of a fully saturated pole can be modeled using an infinite sheet of current, running along the surface of a groove (see: [1, Fig. 1]) with a line density:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{I}}{\mathrm{d}s} = \vec{M} \times \vec{n}\,,\tag{1}$$

where \vec{n} is the a unit vector normal to the surface and pointing outward. Since \vec{M} is assumed to be oriented along y and \vec{n} is confined to the (x, y) plane, $d\vec{I}$ is oriented along z. Basic trigonometry leads:

$$\mathrm{d}I = \pm M \frac{h}{l} \mathrm{d}s\,,\tag{2}$$

with h the height and w the half-width of the groove, and $l^2 = w^2 + h^2$. Note that if h < 0 the "groove" is actually a "bump".

With \overline{M} pointing upward and the groove height h > 0, dI is positive along the left side of the groove, and negative along it right side. Because we assumed that the pole is fully saturated, $M = \frac{B_s}{\mu_0}$ with B_s the saturation field of the ferromagnetic material ($B_s \approx 2.14 \text{ T}$ for soft steel [2]).

Each infinitesimal section of the groove behaves as a pair of infinitely long currentcarrying wires, one below and one above the median plane. The resulting field along this plane is purely vertical and give by:

$$B_y = \frac{B_s h}{\pi l} \left(\int_0^{+l} \frac{x - w_{\bar{l}}^s}{r^2} \,\mathrm{d}s - \int_{-l}^0 \frac{x - w_{\bar{l}}^s}{r^2} \,\mathrm{d}s \right)$$
(3)

with $r^2 = \left(x - w\frac{s}{l}\right)^2 + \left(1 + h - h\frac{|s|}{l}\right)^2$, where all length are given in units of the half-gap height. In the limit where $h \to 0$:

$$B_y \approx h \left. \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial h} \right|_{h=0} = h \frac{B_s}{2\pi w} \ln \left(\frac{\left(x^2 + 1\right)^2}{-2w^2 \left(x^2 - 1\right) + w^4 + \left(x^2 + 1\right)^2} \right). \tag{4}$$

To validate this model I used a simple OPERA-2D dipole model, with constant gap height. I created a groove on the surface of the pole with a depth h = 4% of the halfgap height. I set the coil current so that the pole of the magnet is fully saturated. The differential effect of the groove is shown on Fig. 1 for two different groove width, and the theoretical prediction from Eq. (4) is compared with the OPERA result.



Figure 1: Differential effect of a groove on the magnetic field distribution $B_y(x)$ along the median plane (y = 0). The position x is given in unit of the magnet half-gap height. The depth h of the groove is 4% of the dipole half-gap height. The OPERA-2D result (dots) is compared with the closed form Eq. (4) (solid lines), for two different groove width: 0.5 and 2 times the half-gap height.

2 Jacobian matrix

The Jacobian matrix coefficient for a fully saturated magnet $(\mu_r \to 1)$ that we are looking for is obtained as:

$$J_1(x) = \frac{1}{B_0} \left. \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial h} \right|_{h=0} \,, \tag{5}$$

where B_0 is field value at (x = 0) with no groove. Written explicitly in units of B_0 per unit of the half-gap height it becomes:

$$J_1(x) = \frac{B_s}{2\pi B_0 w} \ln\left(\frac{\left(x^2+1\right)^2}{-2w^2 \left(x^2-1\right)+w^4 + \left(x^2+1\right)^2}\right).$$
(6)

References

- T. Planche, Approximate Jacobian for the Optimization of Dipole Gap Profiles, Tech. Rep. TRI-BN-23-09, TRIUMF, https://beamphys.triumf.ca/~tplanche/ text/note/astor-magnet/conformal-mapping/report.pdf (2023).
- [2] M. Gordon, D. Johnson, Calculation of fields in a superconducting cyclotron assuming uniform magnetization of the pole tips, Part. Accel. 10 (1980) 217–222.